## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

LARR	Y PIONE,	)	
	Plaintiff, v. SACHUSETTS PORT IORITY, Defendant.	) ) ) ) )	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-12177-MLW
			REFERENCE FOR ISPUTE RESOLUTION
		TO JUI	OGE WOLF
[ ]	The above-entitled case v to ADR.	was reported so	ettled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior
[ X ]	On May 1, 2007, I held the following ADR proceeding:		
	SCREENING CO	ONFERENCE	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
	X MEDIATION		SUMMARY BENCH/JURY TRIAL
	MINI-TRIAL		SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
	All parties were represent corporate officer.	ted by counsel	, and were present in person or by authorized
	The case was:		
[ X ]	Settled. Your clerk should enter a 30-day order of dismissal.		
[ ]	There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for, unless the case is reported settled prior to that date.		
[ ]	Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.		
[ ]	Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:		

DATED: May 1, 2007

/ s / Judith Gail Dein

Judith Gail Dein, U.S. Magistrate Judge

ADR Provider